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## What are the strong points of the model?

The model has great class names that all are nouns in singular (Larman, section 9.5) and it follows the concept about visualizing things in real life, rather than about software objects. (Larman, section 9.2). All classes attributes have primitive data types and follows the guidelines claimed by Larman (Larman, section 9.16). It is a very well elaborated model with relevant and helpful classes that delimit the problem description.

## What are the weaknesses of the model?

I don’t understand the “Authentication”. It has no associations. Is it its own domain model?

## As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?

Yes. The provided model gives a good and clear overview of the system and the classes it uses. It follows the visual standards given in the course literature and is easily understood.

## Do you think a domain expert would understand the model why/why not?

Good class names that are easy to understand with clear relations between them. Anyone with some knowledge about the domain should have no problem understanding this mode. It follows the rules from the course literature as stated in previous section of this review.

## Do you think the model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria?

Yes, without hestitation! I actually find it really good and elaborated.
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